$f(T)$ gravity theories and local Lorentz transformation*

Gamal G.L. Nashed†

Centre for Theoretical Physics, The British University in Egypt
Sherouk City 11837, P.O. Box 43, Egypt

e-mail:nashed@bue.edu.eg

arXiv:1403.6937v1 [gr-qc] 27 Mar 2014

We modify the field equations of $f(T)$ gravity theories such that they become invariant under Local Lorentz Transformation (LLT) in the case of spherical symmetry. A "general tetrad field", with an arbitrary function of radial coordinate preserving spherical symmetry is provided. We split that tetrad field into two matrices; the first represents a LLT, which contains an arbitrary function, the second matrix represents a proper tetrad field which is a solution to the field equations of $f(T)$ gravitational theory, (which are not invariant under LLT). This "general tetrad field" is then applied to the modified field equations of $f(T)$. We show that the effect of the arbitrary function which is involved in the LLT invariably disappears.

1. Introduction

Amended gravitational theories have become very interesting due to their ability to provide an alternative framework for understanding the nature of the dark energy. This is done through the modifications of the gravitational Lagrangian so as it render an arbitrary function of its original argument, for instance $f(R)$ instead of Ricci scalar R in the Einstein-Hilbert action [1, 2].

Indeed there exists an equivalent construction of General Relativity (GR) dependent on the concept of parallelism. The idea is initially done by Einstein who had tried to make a

* PACS numbers: 04.50. Kd, 04.70.Bw, 04.20. Jb

Keywords: f(T) theory of gravity, local Lorentz transformation, spherically symmetric solution with arbitrary function.

† Mathematics Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, Cairo, 11566, Egypt

Egyptian Relativity Group (ERG) URL: http://www.erg.eg.net

unification between electromagnetism and gravity fields using absolute parallelism spacetime [3]. This goal was frustrated by the lack of a Schwarzschild solution. Much later, the theory of absolute parallelism gained much attention as a modefication theory of gravity, refereed to "teleparallel equivalent of general relativity" (TEGR) (cf. [4]). The basic block in TEGR is the tetrad field. The tetrad field consists of fields of orthonormal bases which belong to the tangent space of the manifold. Note that the contravariant tetrad field, $h_i{}^{\mu}$, has sixteen components while the metric tensor has only ten. However, the tetrads are invariant under local Lorentz rotations.

The aim of the modification is to treat a more general manifold which comprises in addition to curvature a quantity called "torsion". The curvature tensor, consists of a part without torsion plus part with torsion, is vanishing identically. One can generally use either the torsion-free part or the torsion part to represent the gravitational field. The most suitable way is to deal with the covariant tetrad field, $h^{i}{}_{\mu}$, and the so-called Weitzenböck spacetime [5]. The tetrad field describes fields of orthonormal bases, which are related to the tangent spacetime of the manifold with spacetime coordinates x^{μ}. This tangent spacetime is Minkowski spacetime with the metric η_{ij} that can be defined at any given point on the manifold.

Recently, modifications of TEGR have been studied in the domain of cosmology [6]. This is known as $f(T)$ gravity and is built from a generalized Lagrangian [6]. In such a theory, the gravitational field is not characterized by curved spacetime but with torsion. Moreover, the field equations are only second order unlike the fourth order equations of the $f(R)$ theory.

Many of $f(T)$ gravity theories had been analyzed in [7]-[19]. It is found that $f(T)$ gravity theory is not dynamically equivalent to TEGR Lagrangian through conformal transformation [20]. Many observational constraints had been studied [21]-[24]. Large-scale structure in $f(T)$ gravity theory had been analyzed [25]; perturbations in the area of cosmology in $f(T)$ gravity had been demonstrated [26]-[29]; Birkhoff's theorem, in $f(T)$ gravity had been studied [30]. Stationary solutions having spherical symmetry have been derived for $f(T)$ theories [31, 32, 33, 34]. Relativistic stars and the cosmic expansion derived in [35, 36].

Nevertheless, a major problem of $f(T)$ gravitational theories is that they are not locally Lorentz invariant and appear to harbour extra degrees of freedom.

The goal of this study is to amend the field equations of $f(T)$ gravitational theory so that they become invariant under LLT. We then apply a "general tetrad" field, which is consists of two matrices; the first is a solution to the non-invariant field equation of $f(T)$; and the second matrix is a local Lorentz transformation, to the amended field equations and show that the effect of LLT always dies.

In §2, a brief survey of the $f(T)$ gravitational theory is presented.

In §3, a "general tetrad" field, having spherically symmetric with an arbitrary function

of the radial coordinate r is applied to the field equations of the $f(T)$ which are not invariant under LLT. It is shown that the arbitrary function has affect in this application.

In §, 4, we derive the field equations of $f(T)$ which are invariant under LLT. We then apply these amended field equations to a "general tetrad" field. We show that the effect of the arbitrary function invariably disappears.

Final section is devoted to discussion.

2. Brief review of f(T)

In the Weitzenböck spacetime, the fundamental field variables describing gravity are a quadruplet of parallel vector fields [5] $h_i{}^\mu$, which we call the tetrad field. This is characterized by:

$$D_\nu h_i{}^\mu = \partial_\nu h_i{}^\mu + \Gamma^\mu{}_{\lambda\nu} h_i{}^\lambda = 0, \tag{1}$$

where $\Gamma^\mu{}_{\lambda\nu}$ defines the nonsymmetric affine connection:

$$\Gamma^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} h_i{}^\lambda h^i{}_{\mu,\nu}, \tag{2}$$

with $h_{i\mu,\ \nu} = \partial_\nu h_{i\mu}$[‡].

Equation (1) leads to the metricity condition and the identical vanishment of the curvature tensor defined by $\Gamma^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu}$, given by equation (2). The associated metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ is defined by

$$g_{\mu\nu} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \eta_{ij} h^i{}_\mu h^j{}_\nu, \tag{3}$$

with $\eta_{ij} = (-1, +1, +1, +1)$ that is metric of Minkowski spacetime. We note that, associated with any tetrad field $h_i{}^\mu$ there is a metric field defined uniquely by equation (3), while a given metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ does not determine the tetrad field completely, any LLT of the tetrad $h_i{}^\mu$ leads to a new set of tetrad field which also satisfies equation (3).

The torsion components and the contortion are defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} T^\alpha{}_{\mu\nu} &\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \Gamma^\alpha{}_{\nu\mu} - \Gamma^\alpha{}_{\mu\nu} = h_a{}^\alpha \left(\partial_\mu h^a{}_\nu - \partial_\nu h^a{}_\mu\right), \\ K^{\mu\nu}{}_\alpha &\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} -\frac{1}{2}\left(T^{\mu\nu}{}_\alpha - T^{\nu\mu}{}_\alpha - T_\alpha{}^{\mu\nu}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where the contortion equals the difference between Weitzenböck and Levi-Civita connection, i.e., $K^\mu{}_{\nu\rho} = \Gamma^\mu{}_{\nu\rho} - \left\{{}^{\mu}_{\nu\rho}\right\}$.

[‡]spacetime indices $\mu,\ \nu, \cdots$ and SO(3,1) indices a, b $\cdots$ run from 0 to 3. Time and space indices are indicated by $\mu = 0, i$, and $a = (0), (i)$.

One can defined the skew-symmetric tensor $S_\alpha{}^{\mu\nu}$ as

$$S_\alpha{}^{\mu\nu} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{1}{2}\left(K^{\mu\nu}{}_\alpha + \delta^\mu_\alpha T^{\beta\nu}{}_\beta - \delta^\nu_\alpha T^{\beta\mu}{}_\beta\right). \tag{5}$$

The torsion scalar tensor is defined as

$$T \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} T^\alpha{}_{\mu\nu} S_\alpha{}^{\mu\nu}. \tag{6}$$

Similar to the $f(R)$ theory, one can define the action of $f(T)$ theory as

$$\mathcal{L}(h^a{}_\mu) = \frac{1}{16\pi}\int d^4x h f(T), \qquad \text{where} \quad h = \sqrt{-g} = det\left(h^i{}_\mu\right), \tag{7}$$

(assuming units in which $G = c = 1$). Considering the action in equation (7) as a function of the tetrad field $h^i{}_\mu$ and putting the variation of the function with respect to the tetrad field $h^i{}_\mu$ to be vanishing, one can obtain the following equations of motion [37, 38]:

$$S_\mu{}^{\rho\nu} T_{,\rho}\ f(T)_{TT} + \left[h^{-1} h^i{}_\mu \partial_\rho \left(h h_i{}^\alpha S_\alpha{}^{\rho\nu}\right) - T^\alpha{}_{\lambda\mu} S_\alpha{}^{\nu\lambda}\right] f(T)_T - \frac{1}{4}\delta^\nu{}_\mu f(T) = -4\pi \mathcal{T}^\nu{}_\mu, \tag{8}$$

where $T_{,\rho} = \frac{\partial T}{\partial x^\rho}$, $f(T)_T = \frac{\partial f(T)}{\partial T}$, $f(T)_{TT} = \frac{\partial^2 f(T)}{\partial T^2}$ and $\mathcal{T}^\nu{}_\mu$ is the energy momentum tensor.

In this study we are interested in studying the vacuum case of $f(T)$ gravity theory, i.e., $\mathcal{T}^\nu{}_\mu = 0$.

3. Spherically symmetric solution in f(T) gravity theory

Assuming that the manifold is a stationary, spherically symmetric $(h^i{}_\mu)$ and has the form:

$$\left(h^i{}_\mu\right) = \begin{pmatrix} LA + HA_2 & LA_1 + HA_3 & 0 & 0 \\ -(LA_2 + HA)\sin\theta\cos\phi & -(LA_3 + HA_1)\sin\theta\cos\phi & -r\cos\theta\cos\phi & r\sin\theta\sin\phi \\ -(LA_2 + HA)\sin\theta\sin\phi & -(LA_3 + HA_1)\sin\theta\sin\phi & -r\cos\theta\sin\phi & -r\sin\theta\cos\phi \\ -(LA_2 + HA)\cos\theta & -(LA_3 + HA_1)\cos\theta & r\sin\theta & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{9}$$

where $A(r)$, $A_1(r)$, $A_2(r)$ and $A_3(r)$ are four unknown functions of the radial coordinate r, $L = L(r) = \sqrt{H(r)^2 + 1}$ and $H = H(r)$ is an arbitrary function. Tetrad field (9) transforms as

$$\left(h^i{}_\mu\right) = \left(\Lambda^i{}_j\right)\left(h^j{}_\mu\right)_1,$$

where $(h^j{}_\mu)_1$ is given by

$$\left(h^j{}_\mu\right)_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A(r) & A_1(r) & 0 & 0 \\ A_2(r)\sin\theta\cos\phi & A_3(r)\sin\theta\cos\phi & r\cos\theta\cos\phi & -r\sin\theta\sin\phi \\ A_2(r)\sin\theta\sin\phi & A_3(r)\sin\theta\sin\phi & r\cos\theta\sin\phi & r\sin\theta\cos\phi \\ A_2(r)\cos\theta & A_3(r)\cos\theta & -r\sin\theta & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{10}$$

The tetrad field (10) has been studied in [32] and it has been shown it is a solution to the $f(T)$ gravitational theory when the unknown functions $A(r)$, $A_1(r)$, $A_2(r)$ and $A_3(r)$ have the form:

$$A = 1 - \frac{M}{r}, \qquad A_1 = \frac{M}{r(1-\frac{M}{r})}, \qquad A_2 = \frac{M}{r}, \qquad A_3 = \frac{1-\frac{M}{r}}{1-\frac{2M}{r}}, \tag{11}$$

where M is the gravitational mass. Equation (11) is an exact vacuum solution to field equations of $f(T)$ gravitational theory provided that

$$f(0) = 0, \qquad f_T(0) \neq 0, \qquad f_{TT} \neq 0. \tag{12}$$

The LLT $(\Lambda^i{}_j)$ has the form:

$$\left(\Lambda^i{}_j\right) = \begin{pmatrix} L & H\sin\theta\cos\phi & H\sin\theta\sin\phi & H\cos\theta \\ -H\sin\theta\cos\phi & 1+(L-1)\sin\theta^2\cos\phi^2 & (L-1)\sin\theta^2\sin\phi\cos\phi & (L-1)\sin\theta\cos\theta\cos\phi \\ -H\sin\theta\sin\phi & (L-1)\sin\theta^2\sin\phi\cos\phi & 1+(L-1)\sin\theta^2\sin\phi^2 & (L-1)\sin\theta\cos\theta\sin\phi \\ -H\cos\theta & (L-1)\sin\theta\cos\theta\cos\phi & (L-1)\sin\theta\cos\theta\sin\phi & 1+(L-1)\cos\theta^2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{13}$$

Using Eq. (9) in Eq. (7), one can obtain $h = \det(h^\mu{}_a) = r^2\sin\theta$ and, with the use of Eqs. (4) and (5), we obtain the torsion scalar and its derivatives in terms of r in the form:

$$T(r) = \frac{4([1-MH']L + HH'[M-r] - L^2)}{r^2 L}, \qquad \text{where} \qquad H' = \frac{\partial H(r)}{\partial r},$$

$$T'(r) = \frac{\partial T(r)}{\partial r} = -\frac{4\{rL^2H''[(r-M)H+ML] - r(M-r)H'^2 - 2MH'L^2[L-H] + 2L^3(1-L)\}}{r^3L^3}. \tag{14}$$

The field equations (8) have the form

$$4\pi\mathcal{T}_0{}^0 = -\frac{f_{TT}T'[M(2+H)+L(r-M)-r]}{r^2} + \frac{f_T}{r^2L}\left[L(1-MH') + HH'(M-r) - L^2\right] + \frac{f}{4} \tag{15}$$

$$4\pi\mathcal{T}_1{}^0 = \frac{4f_{TT}T'[(M-r)H-ML]}{r(r-2M)}, \tag{16}$$

$$4\pi\mathcal{T}_1{}^1 = \frac{f_T\{(1-MH')L+HH'(M-r)-L^2\}}{r^2L}+\frac{f}{4} \tag{17}$$

$$4\pi\mathcal{T}_2{}^2 = 4\pi\mathcal{T}_3{}^3 = -\frac{f_{TT}T'\{M(1+H)-r+L(r-M)\}}{2r^2}+\frac{f_T\{(1-MH')L+(M-r)HH'-L^2\}}{r^2L}+\frac{f}{4}. \tag{18}$$

Equations (14)-(18), show that the field equations of $f(T)$ are effected by the inertia which is located in the LLT given by Eq. (13). This effect is related to the non-invariance of the field equations of $f(T)$ gravitational theory under LLT.

4. Invariance of f(T) gravitational theory under LLT

The tetrad field $(h^i{}_\mu)$ transforms under LLT as:

$$\left(\bar{h}^i{}_\mu\right) = \left(\Lambda^i{}_j(x)\right)\left(h^j{}_\mu\right). \tag{19}$$

The derivatives of $\left(\bar{h}^i{}_\mu\right)$, given by equation (19), has the form:

$$\frac{\partial\left(\bar{h}^i{}_\mu\right)}{\partial x^\nu} = \left(\bar{h}^i{}_{\mu,\,\nu}\right) = \left(\Lambda^i{}_j(x)\right)_{,\,\nu}\left(h^j{}_\mu\right)+\left(\Lambda^i{}_j(x)\right)\left(h^j{}_{\mu,\,\nu}\right). \tag{20}$$

The non-symmetric affine connection constructed from the tetrad field $\left(\bar{h}^i{}_\mu\right)$, given by equation (19), has the form

$$\bar{\Gamma}^\mu{}_{\nu\rho} = \eta^{ij}\left(\bar{h}_i{}^\mu\right)\left(\bar{h}\ {}_{j\nu,\,\rho}\right). \tag{21}$$

Using equations (19) and (20) in (21) we obtain

$$\bar{\Gamma}^\mu{}_{\nu\rho} = \Gamma^\mu{}_{\nu\rho}+\left(\Lambda_j{}^i(x)\right)\left(h_i{}^\mu\right)\left(\Lambda^j{}_k(x)\right)_{,\,\rho}\left(h^k{}_\nu\right), \tag{22}$$

where $\Gamma^\mu{}_{\nu\rho}$ is the non-symmetric affine connection constructed from the tetrad field $(h_i{}^\mu)$, given by Eq. (10), which satisfies the field equation of $f(T)$. Therefore, for $\bar{\Gamma}^\mu_{\nu\rho}$ (which is effected by LLT as shown by equation (22) by the last term) to be identical with $\Gamma^\mu{}_{\nu\rho}$ (which is assumed to satisfies the field equation of $f(T)$) we must have:

$$\left(\bar{\Gamma}^\mu{}_{\nu\rho}\right)_{Regularized} = \eta^{ij}\left(\bar{h}_i{}^\mu\right)\left(\bar{h}\ {}_{j\nu,\,\rho}\right)-\left(\Lambda_j{}^i(x)\right)\left(h_i{}^\mu\right)\left(\Lambda^j{}_k(x)\right)_{,\,\rho}\left(h^k{}_\nu\right). \tag{23}$$

Using Eq (23) then, the torsion, the contortion tensors and the skew symmetric tensor $\bar{S}_{\alpha}^{\mu\nu}$ take the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\bar{T}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho}\right)_{Regularized} &= T^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho} + \left(h_i{}^{\mu}\right)\left(\Lambda^{i}{}_{j}(x)\right)\left\{\left(\Lambda^{j}{}_{k}(x)\right)_{,\,\nu}\left(h^{k}{}_{\rho}\right) - \left(\Lambda^{j}{}_{k}(x)\right)_{,\,\rho}\left(h^{k}{}_{\nu}\right)\right\}, \\
\left(\bar{K}_{\alpha}^{\mu\nu}\right)_{Regularized} &= -\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\bar{T}_{\alpha}^{\mu\nu}\right)_{Regularized} - \left(\bar{T}_{\alpha}^{\nu\mu}\right)_{Regularized} - \left(\bar{T}_{\alpha}^{\mu\nu}\right)_{Regularized}\right], \\
\left(\bar{S}_{\alpha}^{\mu\nu}\right)_{Regularized} &= \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\bar{K}_{\alpha}^{\mu\nu}\right)_{Regularized} + \delta_{\alpha}^{\mu}\left(\bar{T}_{\beta}^{\beta\nu}\right)_{Regularized} - \delta_{\alpha}^{\nu}\left(\bar{T}_{\beta}^{\beta\mu}\right)_{Regularized}\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Equation (24) shows that the torsion tensor (and all tensors constructed from it) is invariant under LLT. Using equation (24) in the field equations of $f(T)$, one can easily see that the first, third and fourth terms of the field equations (8) will be invariant under LLT, but the second term, $\partial_{\rho}\left(h\bar{h}_{a}^{\ \alpha}\bar{S}_{\alpha}^{\ \rho\nu}\right)$ will not. This is because of its dependent on the derivative. Therefore, for the term $\partial_{\rho}\left(h\bar{h}_{a}^{\ \alpha}\bar{S}_{\alpha}^{\ \rho\nu}\right)$ to be invariant it must take the following form

$$\left(\partial_{\rho}\left[h\bar{h}_{a}^{\ \alpha}\bar{S}_{\alpha}^{\ \rho\nu}\right]\right)_{Regularized} = \partial_{\rho}\left(h\bar{h}_{a}^{\ \alpha}\bar{S}_{\alpha}^{\ \rho\nu}\right) - h\left(\Lambda_{a}^{\ b}(x)\right)_{,\,\rho}\left(\bar{h}_{b}^{\ \alpha}\right)\bar{S}_{\alpha}^{\ \rho\nu}. \tag{25}$$

Using equations (24) and (25) the invariance field equations of $f(T)$ gravitational theory under LLT take the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\bar{S}_{\mu}^{\ \rho\nu}\right)_{Regularized}\left(\bar{T}_{\ ,\rho}\right)_{Regularized}\ f(\bar{T})_{\bar{T}\bar{T}} + \Bigg[h^{-1}\bar{h}^{a}{}_{\mu}\left(\partial_{\rho}\left[h\bar{h}_{a}^{\ \alpha}\bar{S}_{\alpha}^{\ \rho\nu}\right]\right)_{Regularized} \\
&- \left(\bar{T}^{\alpha}{}_{\lambda\mu}\right)_{Regularized}\left(\bar{S}_{\alpha}^{\ \nu\lambda}\right)_{Regularized}\Bigg] f(\bar{T})_{\bar{T}} + \frac{1}{4}\delta^{\nu}{}_{\mu}f(\bar{T}) = 4\pi\mathcal{T}^{\nu}{}_{\mu},
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where $\left(\bar{T}\right)_{Regularized} = \left(\bar{T}^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}\bar{S}_{\alpha}^{\ \mu\nu}\right)_{Regularized}$.

Now its our task to prove that Eq. (26) when applied to the tetrad field (9) will indeed remove the effect of the inertia which appears in the LLT (13). Calculating all the necessary components of the modified field equations (26) we get a vanishing quantity of the left hand side of Eq. (26). This means that the tetrad field (9) becomes a solution to the $f(T)$ field equations (26) which is invariant under LLT[§].

5. Discussion and conclusion

In this study, we have addressed the problem of the invariance of the field equations of $f(T)$ gravitational theory under LLT. We first used a "general tetrad field" which contained five unknown functions in r. This "general tetrad field" has been studied in [34] and a special

[§]The details calculations of the non-vanishing components of the necessary quantities of the modified field equations (26) are given in Appendix A.

solution has been obtained to the field equations of $f(T)$ which are not invariant under LLT. This solution is characterized by its scalar torsion is vanishes.

We rewrite this tetrad field, "general tetrad field", into two matrices: the first represents a tetrad field contains four unknown functions in r. This tetrad field has been studied before in [32] and has been shown that it represent an exact solution within the framework of $f(T)$ gravitational theories, which are not invariant under LLT. The second matrix represent a LLT that satisfies

$$\left(\Lambda_i{}^j\right)\eta_{jk}\left(\Lambda^k{}_m\right)=\eta_{im}, \tag{27}$$

and contains an arbitrary $H(r)$.

We have applied the field equations of $f(T)$ *which are not invariant under LLT* to the general tetrad field. We have obtained a set of non-linear differential equations which depend on the $H(r)$.

To remove the dependence of the arbitrary function $H(r)$, we have rederived the field equations of $f(T)$ gravitational theory such that they became invariant under LLT. Then, we have applied these invariant field equations to the "generalized tetrad field". We have shown that this "general tetrad field" is an exact solution to the field equations of $f(T)$ gravitational theory which are invariant under LLT.

Appendix A

Calculations of the non-vanishing components of the necessary quantities of the modified field equations (26)

The non-vanishing components of $\left(\Lambda_a{}^b(x)\right)_{,\rho}$:

$$\left(\Lambda^0{}_{0,r}\right)=\frac{H\left(\Lambda^0{}_{1,r}\right)}{L\sin\theta\cos\phi}=\frac{H'\left(\Lambda^0{}_{1,\theta}\right)}{L\cos\theta\cos\phi}=-\frac{H'\left(\Lambda^0{}_{1,\phi}\right)}{L\sin\theta\sin\phi}=\frac{H\left(\Lambda^0{}_{2,r}\right)}{L\sin\theta\sin\phi}=-\frac{H'\left(\Lambda^0{}_{2,\theta}\right)}{L\cos\theta\sin\phi}=\frac{H'\left(\Lambda^0{}_{2,\phi}\right)}{L\sin\theta\cos\phi}$$

$$=\frac{H\left(\Lambda^0{}_{3,r}\right)}{L\cos\theta}=-\frac{H'\left(\Lambda^0{}_{3,\theta}\right)}{L\sin\theta}=-\frac{H\left(\Lambda^1{}_{0,r}\right)}{L\sin\theta\cos\phi}=-\frac{H'\left(\Lambda^1{}_{0,\theta}\right)}{L\cos\theta\cos\phi}=\frac{H'\left(\Lambda^1{}_{0,\phi}\right)}{L\sin\theta\sin\phi}=-\frac{\left(\Lambda^1{}_{1,r}\right)}{\sin^2\theta\cos^2\phi}$$

$$=-\frac{\left(\Lambda^1{}_{2,r}\right)}{\sin^2\theta\cos\phi\sin\phi}=-\frac{H\left(\Lambda^2{}_{0,r}\right)}{L\sin\theta\sin\phi}=-\frac{H'\left(\Lambda^2{}_{0,2}\right)}{L\cos\theta\sin\phi}=-\frac{H'\left(\Lambda^2{}_{0,\phi}\right)}{L\sin\theta\cos\phi}=-\frac{\left(\Lambda^2{}_{3,r}\right)}{\sin\theta\cos\theta\sin\phi}$$

$$=-\frac{\left(\Lambda^2{}_{1,r}\right)}{\sin^2\theta\cos\phi\sin\phi}=-\frac{\left(\Lambda^3{}_{1,r}\right)}{\sin\theta\cos\theta\cos\phi}=-\frac{\left(\Lambda^1{}_{3,r}\right)}{\sin\theta\cos\theta\cos\phi}=-\frac{\left(\Lambda^3{}_{2,r}\right)}{\sin\theta\cos\theta\sin\phi}=-\frac{\left(\Lambda^3{}_{3,r}\right)}{\cos^2\theta}$$

$$=-\frac{H\left(\Lambda^3{}_{0,r}\right)}{L\cos\theta}=-\frac{H'\left(\Lambda^3{}_{0,\theta}\right)}{L\sin\theta}=\frac{HH'}{L},$$

$$\left(\Lambda^1{}_{1,\theta}\right)=-\cot\theta\cot\phi\left(\Lambda^1{}_{1,\phi}\right)=-\cot\theta\cot\phi\left(\Lambda^2{}_{2,\phi}\right)=\cot\phi\left(\Lambda^1{}_{2,\theta}\right)=\cot\phi\left(\Lambda^2{}_{1,\theta}\right)$$

$$= 2\sec 2\phi \cos^2\phi \cot\theta \left(\Lambda^1{}_{2,\phi}\right) = 2\sec 2\phi \cos^2\phi \cot\theta \left(\Lambda^2{}_{1,\phi}\right) = \tan 2\theta \cos\phi \left(\Lambda^1{}_{3,\theta}\right) = -2\cos^2\phi \csc\phi \left(\Lambda^1{}_{3,\phi}\right)$$

$$= 2\cot^2\phi \cot\theta \left(\Lambda^2{}_{2,r}\right) = \cot^2\phi \left(\Lambda^2{}_{2,\theta}\right) = \tan 2\theta \cos^2\phi \csc\phi \left(\Lambda^2{}_{3,\theta}\right) = 2\cos\phi \left(\Lambda^2{}_{3,\phi}\right) = \tan 2\theta \cos\phi \left(\Lambda^3{}_{1,\theta}\right)$$

$$= -2\cos^2\phi \csc\phi \left(\Lambda^3{}_{1,\phi}\right) = 2\tan 2\theta \cos^2\phi \csc\phi \left(\Lambda^3{}_{2,\theta}\right) = 2\cos\phi \left(\Lambda^3{}_{2,\phi}\right) = -\cos^2\phi \left(\Lambda^3{}_{3,\theta}\right)$$

$$= -\sin 2\theta \cos^2\phi (L-1). \tag{28}$$

The non-vanishing components of the non-symmetric affine connection $\left(\bar{\Gamma}^{\mu}_{\nu\rho}\right)_{Regularized}$:

$$\left(\bar{\Gamma}^0{}_{01}\right)_{Regularized} = -\frac{(r-2M)}{r}\bar{\Gamma}^0{}_{11} = \frac{1}{r^2}\left(\bar{\Gamma}^0{}_{22}\right)_{Regularized} = \frac{1}{r^2\sin^2\theta}\left(\bar{\Gamma}^0{}_{33}\right)_{Regularized} = \frac{M}{r(r-2M)},$$

$$\left(\bar{\Gamma}^1{}_{01}\right)_{Regularized} = -\left(\bar{\Gamma}^2{}_{02}\right)_{Regularized} = -\left(\bar{\Gamma}^3{}_{03}\right)_{Regularized} = -\left(\bar{\Gamma}^3{}_{03}\right)_{Regularized}$$
$$= \frac{(r-2M)\left(\bar{\Gamma}^1{}_{11}\right)_{Regularized}}{r} = \frac{\left(\bar{\Gamma}^1{}_{22}\right)_{Regularized}}{r^2(r-M)} = \frac{M\left(\bar{\Gamma}^1{}_{33}\right)_{Regularized}}{r^2\sin^2\theta(r-M)} = -\frac{M}{r^2},$$

$$\left(\bar{\Gamma}^2{}_{12}\right)_{Regularized} = \left(\bar{\Gamma}^3{}_{13}\right)_{Regularized} = \frac{r-M}{r(r-2M)}, \qquad \left(\bar{\Gamma}^2{}_{21}\right)_{Regularized} = \left(\bar{\Gamma}^3{}_{31}\right)_{Regularized} = \frac{1}{r},$$
$$\left(\bar{\Gamma}^2{}_{33}\right)_{Regularized} = -\sin\theta\cos\theta, \qquad \left(\bar{\Gamma}^3{}_{23}\right)_{Regularized} = \left(\bar{\Gamma}^3{}_{32}\right)_{Regularized} = \cot\theta,$$

(29)

The non-vanishing components of the torsion $\left(\bar{T}^{\mu}_{\nu\rho}\right)_{Regularized}$:

$$\left(\bar{T}^1{}_{10}\right)_{Regularized} = -\left(\bar{T}^1{}_{01}\right)_{Regularized} = -\left(\bar{T}^2{}_{20}\right)_{Regularized} = \left(\bar{T}^2{}_{02}\right)_{Regularized} = -\left(\bar{T}^3{}_{30}\right)_{Regularized}$$
$$= \left(\bar{T}^3{}_{03}\right)_{Regularized} = -\frac{M}{r^2},$$

$$\left(\bar{T}^0{}_{01}\right)_{Regularized} = -\left(\bar{T}^0{}_{10}\right)_{Regularized} = \left(\bar{T}^2{}_{12}\right)_{Regularized} = -\left(\bar{T}^2{}_{21}\right)_{Regularized} = \left(\bar{T}^3{}_{13}\right)_{Regularized}$$
$$= -\left(\bar{T}^3{}_{31}\right)_{Regularized} = -\frac{M}{r(r-2M)}. \tag{30}$$

The non-vanishing components of $\bar{S}_{\alpha}{}^{\rho\nu}$:

$$\left(\bar{S}_0{}^{01}\right)_{Regularized} = -\left(\bar{S}_0{}^{10}\right)_{Regularized} = -\frac{M}{r^2},$$
$$\left(\bar{S}_1{}^{10}\right)_{Regularized} = -\left(\bar{S}_1^{10}\right)_{Regularized} = -\frac{M}{r(r-2M)}. \tag{31}$$

The non-vanishing components of $\partial_\lambda \left[h\bar{h}_a{}^\alpha \bar{S}_\alpha{}^{\rho\nu}\right] = N_a{}^{\rho\nu}{}_{,\,\lambda}$:

$$N_0{}^{01}{}_{,\,\theta} = -N_0{}^{10}{}_{,\,\theta} = M(L-H)\cos\theta,$$

$$N_1{}^{10}{}_{,\,\theta} = -N_1{}^{01}{}_{,\,\theta} = \cot\phi N_2{}^{10}{}_{,\theta} = -\cot\phi N_2{}^{01}{}_{,\,\theta} = M(L+1-H)\sin\theta\cos\theta\cos\phi,$$

$$N_3{}^{10}{}_{,\,\theta} = -N_3{}^{01}{}_{,\,\theta} = M(L-\tan^2\theta-H)\cos^2\theta,$$

$$N_1{}^{10}{}_{,\,\phi} = -N_1{}^{01}{}_{,\,\phi} = -\tan\phi N_2{}^{10}{}_{,\phi} = \tan\phi N_2{}^{01}{}_{,\,\phi} = -M\sin^2\theta\sin\phi. \tag{32}$$

Using Eq (32) in Eq. (25) we get a vanishing components of $\left(\partial_\rho \left[h\bar{h}_a{}^\alpha \bar{S}_\alpha{}^{\rho\nu}\right]\right)_{Regularized}$.

References

[1] S. Nojiri, S.D. Odintsov, arXiv:0807.0685; T.P. Sotiriou, V. Faraoni, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82** (2010), 451; A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, *Living Rev. Rel.* **13** (2010), 3.

[2] R. Durrer, R. Maartens, *Published in Dark energy: Observational and theoretical approaches, ed. P Ruiz-Lapuente (Cambridge UP), (2010).* [arXiv:0811.4132 [astro-ph]].

[3] A. Einstein, *Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Phys. Math. Kl.*, (1928) 217 (1930) 401.

[4] C. Møller, *Mat. Fys. Medd. Dan. Vid. Selsk.* **39** (1978), 13; K. Hayashi and T. Shirafuji. *Phys. Rev.* **D19**, 3524 (1979); *Phys. Rev.* **D24**, 3312 (1981); M.I. Mikhail and M.I. Wanas, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London* **A 356** (1977), 471; C. Pellegrini and J. Plebański, *Mat. Fys. Scr. Dan. Vid. Selsk.* **2** (1963), no.4.

[5] R. Weitzenbock, *Invariance Theorie, Nordhoff, Gronin- gen, 1923.*

[6] R. Ferraro and F. Fiorini, *Phys. Rev.* **D 75** (2007), 084031; **D 78** (2008), 124019; **D84** (2011), 083518.

[7] G. R. Bengochea and R. Ferraro, *Phys. Rev.* **D 79** (2009), 124019.

[8] Rong-Xin Miao, M. Li and Yan-Gang Miao, *JCAP* **11** (2011), 033.

[9] H. Wei, Xiao-Jiao Guo and Long-Fei Wang, *Phys. Lett.* **B707** (2012), 298.

[10] P. A. Gonzalez, E. N. Saridakis and Y. Vasquez, *JHEP 1207* (2012), 053.

[11] R. Ferraro and F. Fiorini, *IJMP (Conference Series)* **3** (2011), 227.

[12] L. Iorio and E. N. Saridakis, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **427** (2012), 1555.

[13] N. Tamanini and C. G. Boehmer, *Phy. Rev.* **D86** (2012), 044009.

[14] F.W. Hehl, P. Von Der Heyde, G. D. Kerlick and J. M. Nester, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **48** (1976), 393.

[15] F.W. Hehl, J. D. McCrea, E. W. Mielke and Y. Neeman, *Phy. Rept.* **258** (1995), 1.

[16] E. V. Linder, *Phys. Rev.* **D 81** (2010), 127301.

[17] R. Myrzakulov, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C 71** (2011), 1752.

[18] K. Bamba, C.-Q. Geng, C.-C. Lee, and L.-W. Luo, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **01** (2011), 021.

[19] P. Wu and H. Yu, *Eur. Phys. J. C 71* (2011), 1552.

[20] R.-J. Yang, *Europhys. Lett.* **93** (2011), 60001.

[21] G. R. Bengochea, *Phys. Lett.* **B 695** (2011), 405.

[22] P. Wu and H. Yu, *Phys. Lett.* **B 693** (2010), 415.

[23] Y. Zhang, H. Li, Y. Gong, and Z.-H. Zhu, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **07** (2011), 015.

[24] H. Wei, X.-P. Ma, and H.-Y. Qi, *Phys. Lett.* **B 703** (2011),74.

[25] B. Li, T. P. Sotiriou, and J. D. Barrow, *Phys. Rev.* **D 83** (2011), 104017.

[26] J. B. Dent, S. Dutta, and E. N. Saridakis, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **01** (2011), 009.

[27] S.-H. Chen, J. B. Dent, S. Dutta, and E. N. Saridakis, *Phys. Rev.* **D 83** (2011), 023508.

[28] R. Zheng and Q.-G. Huang, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **03** (2011), 002 (2011).

[29] Y.-F. Cai, S.-H. Chen, J. B. Dent, S. Dutta, and E. N. Saridakis, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **28** (2011), 215011.

[30] X.-h. Meng and Y.-b. Wang, *arXiv:1107.0629*.

[31] T. Wang, *Phys. Rev.* **D 84** (2011), 024042.

[32] G. G. L. Nashed, *Gen. Relat. Grav.* **45** (2013), 1887.

[33] G. G. L. Nashed, *Phys. Rev.* **D 88** (2013), 104034.

[34] G. G. L. Nashed, *Astrophysics and space science* **348** (2013), 591.

[35] C. Deliduman and B. Yapiskan, *arXiv:1103.2225*.

[36] S. Capozziello, V. F. Cardone, H. Farajollahi, and A. Ravanpak, *Phys. Rev.* **D 84** (2011), 043527.

[37] S. Capozziello, P. A. González E. N. Saridakis and Y. Vásquez, *JHEP* **1302** (2013), 039.

[38] G. R. Bengochea and R. Ferraro, *Phys. Rev.* **D 79** (2009), 124019.